

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/708,932	11/08/2000	Salman Akram	3434.1US (97-856.1)	4170
24247	7590 02/11/2004		EXAMINER	
TRASK BRITT			MACKEY, JAMES P	
P.O. BOX 25	550			
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1722	

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

mu	
s	
CE.	
n in	
ntinued	
ever is later. In	
e MPEP	
ate extension	
iate extension ce action; or	
n, even if	
lifying the	
inying the	
nendment	
place the	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/708,932	AKRAM, SALMAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
James Mackey	1722	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

THE REPLY FILED 27 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANG Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application E

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isio i; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying tissues for appeal; and/or	the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendme canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	∍nt
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place th application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	е
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: none.	
Claim(s) objected to: none.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-20,23-31,34-37 and 39-41</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
James Mackey Primary Examiner	

2/6/04 Art Unit: 1722

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Entry of the amendment upon filing of an Appeal would overcome the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as well as the objection of the claims for lack of antecedent basis.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to modify the shape of the mold cavities of the mold apparatus taught in Ochiai et al. '831 by providing the shape of the mold cavities of any conventional mold cavity shape as disclosed in any of the secondary references, since such mold cavity shapes have recognized utility in a mold apparatus for formation of solder bumps/balls, as described in the last Office Action. Moreover, claim recitations of intended use do not patentably distinguish apparatus claims, as described in the last Office Action.